SUPPLEMENTARY

Patterns of Transposable Element Distribution around Chromatin Ligation Points Revealed by Micro-C Data Analysis

Alexandr V. Vikhorev¹, Michael M. Rempel¹, Oksana O. Polesskaya¹, Ivan V. Savelev¹, Alexandre A. Vetcher^{2,3,*} and Max V. Myakishev-Rempel^{1,*}

¹DNA Resonance Research Foundation, San Diego, CA, USA

²Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN), 6 Miklukho-Maklaya St, 117198Moscow, Russian Federation ³Complimentary and Integrative Health Clinic of Dr. Shishonin, 5 Yasnogorskaya Str, Moscow, 117588, Russian Federation

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

* Address correspondence to this author at the DNA Resonance Research Foundation, San Diego, CA, USA; E-mail: avetcher@gmail.com, and max@dnaresonance.org

Cite as: Vikhorev A, Rempel M, Polesskaya O, Savelev I, Vetcher A, Myakishev-Rempel M. Patterns of Transposable Element Distribution around Chromatin Ligation Points Revealed by Micro-C Data Analysis. Open Bioinform J, 2025; 18: e18750362357726-SP. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118750362357726250410070525

Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

SUPPLEMENT







Published: April 16, 2025

(†)





Fig S2. _L1. Density distribution of L1 (LINE-1) transposable elements in relation to chromatin contact points. The plot illustrates the positioning patterns of L1 elements around chromatin ligation sites.



Fig S3. L2. Analysis of L2 transposable element distribution surrounding chromatin contact points. The figure shows the characteristic arrangement of L2 elements in proximity to chromatin ligation sites.



Fig S4. _MER. Spatial distribution of MER transposable elements relative to chromatin contact points, showing their organizational patterns in the genomic landscape.



Fig S5. _MIR. Distribution profile of MIR (Mammalian-wide Interspersed Repeat) elements around chromatin ligation points, illustrating their positioning patterns in relation to chromatin contacts.



Fig S6. _MLT. Density plot showing the distribution of MLT transposable elements around chromatin contact points, revealing their spatial arrangement patterns.



Fig S7. _AluJr. Specific distribution pattern of AluJr subfamily elements around chromatin ligation points, demonstrating their unique spatial organization.



Fig S8. _AluSg. Analysis of AluSg subfamily element distribution in relation to chromatin contact points, showing their characteristic positioning patterns.



Fig S9. _AluSx. Spatial distribution profile of AluSx subfamily elements around chromatin ligation points, illustrating their specific arrangement patterns.



Fig S10. _L2a. Distribution analysis of L2a subfamily elements in proximity to chromatin contact points, showing their distinct positioning patterns.



Fig S11. _L2b. Density plot showing the distribution of L2b subfamily elements around chromatin ligation points, revealing their specific spatial organization.



Fig S12. _L2c. Analysis of L2c subfamily element distribution relative to chromatin contact points, demonstrating their characteristic arrangement patterns.



Fig S13. _MIR subfamily. Distribution pattern of MIR subfamily elements around chromatin ligation points, showing their specific spatial organization in the genome.



Fig S14. _MIRb. Spatial distribution analysis of MIRb subfamily elements in relation to chromatin contact points, illustrating their positioning patterns.



Fig S15. _MIRc. Distribution profile of MIRc subfamily elements around chromatin ligation points, showing their characteristic arrangement patterns.



Fig S16. _DS3-DS4 Transposon L2b DS3 is correlated with DS4 (strands averaged, strand info ignored) Correlation analysis of L2b transposon distribution between datasets DS3 and DS4, with strand information combined, demonstrating the reproducibility of positioning patterns.



Fig S17. _DS3-DS4 Transposon L2b DS3 is correlated with DS4 (strands plotted separately). Strand-specific correlation analysis of L2b transposon distribution between datasets DS3 and DS4, showing distinct patterns for plus and minus strands.

Correlation

The following graphs are correlations for density curves between Datasets D1, D2, D3, D4. The transposon subfamily is marked on the top of each graph.

Correlation Analysis of Transposable Element Distributions These correlation plots demonstrate the reproducibility of transposable element density patterns around chromatin contact points across different datasets and methods. Each plot shows pairwise correlations between biological replicates, with lighter colors indicating stronger correlations. Plus (+) and minus (-) strands are analyzed separately to reveal strand-specific patterns. Correlation values between corresponding strands of biological replicates typically reach 0.7-0.8, while correlations between opposite strands remain below 0.2, quantitatively confirming strand specificity. Results are shown for Alu (X), L1 (Y), L2a-c (Z) and their subfamilies. The consistent patterns across Hi-C (DS3, DS4) and Micro-C (DS1, DS2) datasets validate the biological authenticity of these organizational features.



Fig S18. Correlation Analysis, Transposon L2. Series of correlation plots showing pairwise comparisons between datasets D1, D2, D3, and D4 for various transposable element subfamilies. The plots demonstrate the reproducibility of density patterns across different experimental methods and biological replicates. Correlation values between corresponding strands typically range from 0.7-0.8, while opposite strand correlations remain below 0.2, confirming strand specificity.



Fig S19. Correlation Analysis AluSx. Series of correlation plots showing pairwise comparisons between datasets D1, D2, D3, and D4 for various transposable element subfamilies. The plots demonstrate the reproducibility of density patterns across different experimental methods and biological replicates. Correlation values between corresponding strands typically range from 0.7-0.8, while opposite strand correlations remain below 0.2, confirming strand specificity.



Fig S20. Correlation Analysis AluJr. Series of correlation plots showing pairwise comparisons between datasets D1, D2, D3, and D4 for various transposable element subfamilies. The plots demonstrate the reproducibility of density patterns across different experimental methods and biological replicates. Correlation values between corresponding strands typically range from 0.7-0.8, while opposite strand correlations remain below 0.2, confirming strand specificity.



Fig S21. Correlation Analysis AluJb. Series of correlation plots showing pairwise comparisons between datasets D1, D2, D3, and D4 for various transposable element subfamilies. The plots demonstrate the reproducibility of density patterns across different experimental methods and biological replicates. Correlation values between corresponding strands typically range from 0.7-0.8, while opposite strand correlations remain below 0.2, confirming strand specificity.



Fig S22. Correlation Analysis L2b. Series of correlation plots showing pairwise comparisons between datasets D1, D2, D3, and D4 for various transposable element subfamilies. The plots demonstrate the reproducibility of density patterns across different experimental methods and biological replicates. Correlation values between corresponding strands typically range from 0.7-0.8, while opposite strand correlations remain below 0.2, confirming strand specificity.



Fig S23. Correlation Analysis L2C. Series of correlation plots showing pairwise comparisons between datasets D1, D2, D3, and D4 for various transposable element subfamilies. The plots demonstrate the reproducibility of density patterns across different experimental methods and biological replicates. Correlation values between corresponding strands typically range from 0.7-0.8, while opposite strand correlations remain below 0.2, confirming strand specificity.

Random Controls

Random Controls

Correlation plot for Alujb mobile element -1.0 LP1_Dataset1_plus 1 -0.049 0.078 0.0086 -0.8 LP1_Dataset1_minus -0.049 1 -0.099 0.023 LP2_Dataset1_plus -0.078 -0.032 1 -0.073 0.099 0.082 0.0Z4 -0.035 -0.6 LP2_Dataset1_minus 0.073 1 0.058 0.067 0.095 0.4 LP1_Dataset2_plus 0.0086 0.099 0.091 1 0.075 0.032 0.2 LP1_Dataset2_minus 0.082 -0.058 1 -0.097 LP2_Dataset2_plus -0.023 0.024 -0.075 0.21 1 -0.067 0.0 LP2_Dataset2_minus -0.099 -0.035 -0.095 -0.097 0.051 1 UP1 DatasetL plus UPI Dataset1 minus LP2 Dataset2 minus Dataset2 plus UP2 Dataset1_plus **P2** Dataset1 minus Dataset2 minus UP2_Elataset2_plu S E.

Fig S24. Random controls, AluJb Analysis of transposable element distribution patterns using randomized control datasets, validating the specificity of the observed patterns in the experimental data.

DISCLAIMER: The above article has been published, as is, ahead-of-print, to provide early visibility but is not the final version. Major publication processes like copyediting, proofing, typesetting and further review are still to be done and may lead to changes in the final published version, if it is eventually published. All legal disclaimers that apply to the final published article also apply to this ahead-of-print version.